



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,547	02/27/2002	David F. Bantz	YOR920010667US1	9848
54105 7590 04/07/2008				
DUKE W. YEE				
YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.				
P.O. BOX 802333				
DALLAS, TX 75380				
EXAMINER				
ZHEN, L F B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2194				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/07/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/085,547

**Applicant(s)**

BANTZ ET AL.

**Examiner**

Li B. Zhen

**Art Unit**

2194

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sarah Foley.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Li B. Zhen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,785,805 and U.S. Patent No. 6,775,829.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner discussed with applicant's representative possible amendments to claim 1 to overcome the current art rejection. Examiner indicated that the combination of claims 1, 7 and 8 with additional features to distinguish the provisioning rules from the augmented provisioning rules used test the generated disk image (i.e. p. 14 of the specification) would overcome the current art rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Li B. Zhen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.